

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,009	03/16/2001	Jean-Marc Ascione	05725.0634-00	5103
22852	7590 07/17/2002		•	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
DUNNER LL		ELHILO, EISA B		
1300 I STREE				
WASHINGIC	WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	d
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	*

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		OF C				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	09/809,009	ASCIONE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TO SEAU INC DATE of this accommission of	Eisa B Elhilo	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 l	<u>May 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a	)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in phoney under do o.o.o. 3 mold	, (a) 51 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document		on No.				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior     application from the International Bu     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1 This action is responsive to the amendment filed on May 15, 2002.

The rejection of claims 1-65 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de la Mettrie et al. (US' 5,989,295) in view of de la Mettrie et al. (US' 5,976,195), is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action in paper No. 5, dated 01/24/2002.

## Response to Applicant's Arguments

3 Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection based upon de la Mettrie (US' 295) in view of de la Mettrie (US' 195), Applicant argues that the examiner failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. The applicant also argues that the modified reference would not teach or suggest all the limitations of the claims because neither reference teaches or suggests at least one additional anionic associative polymer comprising alkoxylated fatty alcohol and a carboxylic acid.

The examiner respectfully, disagrees with the above arguments because de la Mettrie (US' 295) teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising at least one oxidation dye precursor, couplers and at least one anionic amphiphilic polymer containing at least one hydrophilic unit of an unsaturated olefinic carboxylic acid, and at least one hydrophobic unit of a (C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>30</sub>) alkyl ester of unsaturated carboxylic acid, preferably exclusively (mainly) of said (C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>30</sub>) alkyl ester of unsaturated carboxylic acid (see col. 1, lines 5-14). Further, de la Mettire (US' 195) teaches in another analogous art a hair dyeing composition comprising oxidation dye precursors, couplers and an anionic amphiphilic polymer containg at least one hydrophilic unit, and at least one allyl ether unit containing a fatty chain (see col. 1, lines 5-12). Therefore, there is a reason to combine

Application/Control Number: 09/809,009

Art Unit: 1751

the references since both references teach and suggest the use of anionic polymers in their compositions for the same utility (hair dyeing compositions).

4 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30-5:00) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Page 4

Elhilo

July 10, 2002

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**